**Journal of Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence**
ISSN: 3078-8242 (Print), ISSN: 3078-2309 (Online) | Vol. 7, No. 2, 2026

# Cloud Platform-Enabled Collaborative Application Models of Big Data and Cloud Computing in Finance

**Yuqi Zheng**

Nottingham University Business School, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

**Abstract:** For the first time, by integrating convergent big-data-analysis and cloud-computing technology to organise enterprises' large-scale financial management from all angles. This paper studies the Collaborative Application Models realised by Cloud Platforms for the Integration of Large-Scale Data Processing and Financial Operations, such as Real-Time Reporting, Risk Management and Resource Optimization. Based on existing research Frameworks for Information System and Financial Technology, this paper explores how service model - Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS), Platform as a Service (PaaS), And Software as a Service (SaaS) interacts with Big Data Pipelines to build coherent financial Intelligence Systems. There are also problems during implementation to implement the above countermeasures; specifically related to issues with data Governance and Regulations. Based on the results, it can be inferred from these that only through intentional integration among various aspects does an ideal system result. Practitioners' navigation of cloud adoption under regulated financial conditions is given recommendations.

**Keywords:** Cloud computing, big data analytics, financial management, cloud platform, data governance, financial technology, collaborative application.

## 1. Introduction

In recent decades, with increasing quantities, speeds and volumes of financial information created by companies through various channels beyond the ability of old infrastructures to handle has grown rapidly. Traditional-on-demand financial systems focus primarily on batch processing and periodic generation of reports; they have not yet met the regulation demands for immediate disclosure, swift market responsiveness mechanisms, managing multinational jurisdictional data flexibly and so forth. Thus, under these circumstances, clouds are not merely an instance of IaaS providers but rather foundational infrastructure that enables implementation through cloud technology for intensive-finance-based operation activities.

Cloud computing and Big Data grow stronger for each other. A cloud-based system provides versatile resources and has implemented a distributed structure that supports the collection of financial datasets' processes data as well as integrates it; Several high-performing big-data analysis tools have also been built to transform unstructured transactions into valuable economic information [1]. None of them can achieve their intended effects on their own; only when all three combine is the whole system realised.

Although some companies throughout different industries have been implementing the Cloud Technology broadly; Analytical integration for finance is still more advanced than those of other areas. Many studies of enterprise-resource-planning (ERP) systems and financial-systems-design have found that fragmented data architecture, isolated departmental Systems, and a reluctance toward IT governance hinder the process of cloud-based transformation [2]. Regarding concrete demand of the financial industry in terms of data authenticity, traceability and compliance with regulations are also more difficult to meet than others' operations.

Through examining the various structural forms by which cloud-based platforms provide assistance for large-scale data integration within the financial sector, identifying the scenarios leading to tangible operational benefits, as well as addressing issues related to organisation's governance and execution needed to ensure their sustainable application at an enterprise level. This research adds new content to existing studies focused primarily on the interaction between cloud computing and big data technologies within the context of financial Information Systems, thus contributing additional support for academic discussion.

## 2. Theoretical Foundations

### 2.1. Cloud Computing Architectures in Financial Contexts

Based on the National Institute of Standards and Technology, cloud computing consists of five essential characteristics: On-demand Self-service; Worldwide Network connectivity; Resources aggregated together; Elastic Utilization; Measurement Services [3]. The three fundamental services are based on Iaas, paas, saas [3]. Different meaning of each service Layer for Financial Applications. IaaS offers basic computing power and storage space for users to migrate their own data warehouse and transaction processing systems or other applications. PaaS provides a service environment for building custom financial analysis pipelines; SaaS bundles pre-installed finance management software with already included data connection functions and basic reporting capabilities.

The choice by financial institutions for public, private or hybrid Clouds types impacts regulation. Therefore, when it comes to separating sensitive financial information onto a private cloud and analysis data on the same industry's public Cloud has become relatively prevalent to meet regulatory requirements at low cost [4]. A partitioning strategy, which was adopted to some extent under the condition of governance demands for the design and construction of information systems.

### 2.2. Big Data Analytics Capabilities and Financial Decision-Making

Big Data in Financial Contexts Not Only Has a Large Volume but Also Includes Various Types of Data Sources Such As Structured Transaction Records, Semi-Structured Audit Logs, Unstructured Communications and Streaming Market Feeds. Integrating these different forms of information effectively to form a financial intelligence system with the function of combining historical data and future projections [5].

Based on the resource-based view of the firm for information systems, considering big data analysis capabilities as an important strategic asset when they are valuable, scarce, and hard to imitate [6]. In terms of financial management, it is manifested as generating information - such as immediate cash flow predictions, dynamic credit risk evaluation indicators; Dynamic monitoring of transaction-level variances that others do not have the same-speed or high-detailed capability for replication. As a result, cloud platforms have become the enabling infrastructures that enable and maintain these capabilities, reducing the capital investment barriers for sophisticated analysis by only large banks so far.

## 3. Collaborative Application Models

### 3.1. Real-Time Financial Reporting and Data Integration

The most operationalised realisation of cloud-big data collaboration for finance at present is the transition from periodic to continuous reporting periods. Traditionally, in late December when the annual financial statements are released each year, it takes a long time to receive any information about company development until early January. Combining a cloud-data-integration Platform with Apache Kafka and Apache FLink to ingest transactional data generated by an enterprise's ERP system at near-real time speeds can allow financial personnel to obtain the sub-day financial statement quickly [7].

In actual application, this model needs to build a unified data layer—the cloud data lakehouse—is typically composed of scattered sources in accounts payable, treasury management and intra-company transfer systems respectively. The data lake-house architecture combines the flexible schema management of data Lakes with transactional consistency and query efficiency of traditional Data Warehouse, which is more suitable for Financial Environment that require a balance between Exploratory analysis and Audit-level Record Access. In order to maintain the integrity of Schema and lineage among various data-flow transforms, we need robust technological strength; Organisations' own improvements are more comprehensive than only strengthening infrastructure for enhanced analysis power. Not being strictly controlled is hard to produce good results in terms of inclusion through cloud-based data; after reaching this stage, problems such as recurrent symptoms similar to those encountered when using the batch-processing method before would emerge again.

### 3.2. Risk Management and Predictive Analytics

The risk analysis area for cloud-big-data collaborative innovation offers a further benefit not present in the existing models. Credit risk, liquidity risk and operational risk assessments have generally been based on static model calibration of historical data collected infrequently. The cloud-based machine learning platform can continuously update the risk model based on new transaction data, as well as behavioural information of counterparty and other external factors, to calculate real-time-risk Scores[8].

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision has gradually paid more attention to the adequacy of risk data aggregation and reporting as an issue in supervision; BCBS 239 established principles for effective risk data aggregation and risk reports that actually require such comprehensive data structure supported by cloud-big data technology[9]. Non-Bank Financial Institutions need to undergo internal audit and investor disclosure; Consequently, there will be an increased investment in constructing their own risk assessment systems.

### 3.3. Cost Optimization Through Elastic Cloud Resources

The financial plan and analysis parts have relatively low computational requirements. Budget cycles, period-ended closure processes and regulatory submissions generate process overloads in on-premise infrastructures that organisations need to provide enough capacity for the highest load. Cloud elasticity separates capacity from the peak load; During intense usage, it automatically increases resources, and when workload is stable, it reduces them accordingly to align costs with actual usage [10].

Activity-Based Cost Models (ABCs) of cloud financial operations, often called finOps practices, track and allocate costs associated with various finance activities on the cloud platform. In adopting the combination of finops governance and cloud deployment, there have been true reductions in costs borne by enterprises' financial Departments; They regard consumption of clouds as a controllable Variable Cost rather than an unalterable Overhead [11].

## 4. Implementation Challenges and Governance Considerations

### 4.1. Data Security, Compliance, and Regulatory Constraints

There are relatively rigorous regulatory mechanisms throughout the financial sector that impact how we Design cloud-based and Data Platform systems. The US institution required by the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act should abide by its rules concerning management of non-public personal financial information to affect data residence and encryption norms in cloud systems. European institutions will have to balance using cloud services while adhering to the general data protection regulation and other requirements from the European Banking Authority; thus, they will be considered a contractual third party in terms of liability and subject to this regulatory body [12].

Key Management, Access Control Architecture and Audit Log Immutability are not negotiable technical requirements for compliant financial Cloud deployment. Organisations failing to consider such treatment of problems during planning will face regulatory obstacles after launching, causing delays in the development schedule and rising remediation expenditure. Compliance requirement translations should occur prior to vendor determination in the governance framework; thus, they cannot be added retroactively to infrastructure decision points [2].

### 4.2. Organizational Readiness and Technology Integration Barriers

Technical architecture on its own cannot guarantee that the Cloud-Big Data Integration for finance is successful. Organisation ability - that is, whether there are sufficient people to execute the cross-boundary operations between financial accounting logic and data engineering application - affects implementation rate relatively seriously. There is a mismatch between the need for skilled resources required to construct cloud-based data pipelines according to accounting standards and audit criteria, as well as between the market demands and available labour power; Many labour markets are now 'skills-deprived' [13].

A legacy system integration issue also exists persistently. Many financial enterprises have built their own ERP systems earlier in this century, and since then there has not been a relatively stable standard interface for these applications. Technical debts in these systems often manifest as numerous problems related to data quality after the application has been migrated to the cloud: duplicates, inconsistent chart-of-accounts information; incomplete historical datasets and so on. When organizations are located in various places abroad and use numerous different kinds of Accounting Systems, problems occur when they transform into multiple Legal Entities at once. The development and management of such a settlement function typically involve greater costs than what is mentioned in the project plan; therefore, problems caused by delays or oversights during the reforming cloud finance might occur. Solutions to these problems require substantial funding for an all-encompassing investment in the construction of a data-governance systemf [5].

## 5. Discussion

### 5.1. Strategic Implications for Financial Organizations

The collaborative model of the organisations investigated here indicates that those with greater benefits derive more value from cloud and big-data integration; They regard data architecture as a capital for business growth, not an operational cost. This kind of Orientation is manifested by attributing responsibilities for Data Ownership to the Finance Department, incorporating Quality Standards into Internal Control Systems, and making cloud Investment Decisions Based on Financial Reports and Risk Management Objectives, not Technological Renewal Times [6].

Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) are not able to leverage Cloud Big-Data services because they can obtain SaaS financial management applications with built-in analysis functions instead. However, these organisations have a different type of capability deficiency; they cannot configure packaged platforms according to their own financial procedures because it requires specialized domain knowledge. Regarding suppliers' evaluations in each section, not only need to focus on their functions but also cooperations should be strengthened after delivery; Manufacturers needing compatibility and compliance with relevant regulatory environments equivalent to those of our company should be confirmed. Organisations that spend a considerable amount of time and resources in setting up an organisational structure for employee introduction during recruiting tend to hire some autonomous newly hired personnel with strong abilities to self-development after becoming employees themselves.

### 5.2. Limitations and Future Research Directions

This paper's analysis is based on theoretical synthesis and published case evidence rather than primary empirical data collection. The models proposed here reflect patterns observed across industries and institutional contexts that may not translate uniformly to specific regulatory environments or organizational types. Quantitative research examining the relationship between cloud-big data integration maturity and financial reporting quality, as measured by audit findings or restatement frequency, would substantially strengthen the evidentiary base for the strategic claims advanced here.

Additionally, the rapid development of artificial intelligence capabilities embedded within cloud financial platforms—including large language model-based financial narrative generation and automated anomaly detection—introduces a new layer of analytical complexity that this paper does not fully address. Future research should examine how AI-augmented financial analytics interact with existing governance frameworks and whether current regulatory standards are adequate to address the interpretability and auditability requirements these tools create.

## 6. Conclusion

Cloud platforms and big data analytics represent complementary capabilities whose integration within financial management functions produces outcomes that neither achieves independently. The collaborative application models examined in this paper—real-time reporting integration, predictive risk analytics, and elastic cost management—illustrate how cloud infrastructure transforms big data from a storage and processing challenge into a source of sustained financial intelligence. However, the realization of these benefits depends critically on governance decisions that precede and accompany technical deployment: regulatory compliance architecture, data quality management, and organizational capability development are as determinative of outcomes as the choice of cloud platform or analytics framework. Financial organizations that approach cloud-big data integration as a governance challenge with a technical dimension, rather than as a technology project with governance implications, are better positioned to capture durable value from these investments.

The analysis in this paper is a combination of theory and experience with no primary empirical research conducted. The models presented here have some characteristics of the industries and institutions; therefore, they cannot be used across diverse regulatory environments and organisations' settings. Research exploring the quantified link among cloud-big data integration maturity and financial-reporting-quality, through audits or restatements' evidences of discrepancies to verify its degree-of-reliability enhancement would significantly enhance this study's theoretical support.

In addition, as AI technologies continue to evolve in cloud financial platforms, there are also other new forms of analysis emerging such as large-language-model-driven financial-narrative-generation and automatic-anomaly-detection that this study has yet to explore comprehensively. Thereafter, Research focuses on how Artificial Intelligence-enhanced performance appraisal for financial results may integrate into our country's existing Governance structure; Whether or Not it will comply with Regulatory standards under such expansion needs to be explored next.

# 7. Conclusion

Cloud platform and big data analysis present synergistic roles where their combination in financial management functions yields results not achieved by either individually. As demonstrated by the research results on collaborative applications in this article: Real-time reporting integration; Predictive risk assessment; Flexible cost management; Turning massive amounts of data into a key resource for sustained financial intelligence. Realising these effects is mainly dependent on governance options both before and after technology application; The regulation compliance system, data quality control mechanisms, as well as organization capabilities are factors that directly impact the outcome rather than whether to choose cloud plat form Soren analytic tools. Financial institutions that regard implementing cloud-big-data integration as a problem arising from technology construction and are not included in the management topic list will be worth more to their projects in the long term.

# References


[1] Hashem, I. A. T., Yaqoob, I., Anuar, N. B., Mokhtar, S., Gani, A., & Khan, S. U. (2015). The rise of "big data" on cloud computing: Review and open research issues. Information Systems, 47, 98–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.is.2014.10.006

[2] Garrison, G., Kim, S., & Wakefield, R. L. (2012). Success factors for deploying cloud computing. Communications of the ACM, 55(9), 62–68. https://doi.org/10.1145/2330667.2330686

[3] Mell, P., & Grance, T. (2011). The NIST definition of cloud computing (Special Publication 800-145). National Institute of Standards and Technology. https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/Legacy/SP/nistspecialpublication800-145.pdf

[4] Marston, S., Li, Z., Bandyopadhyay, S., Zhang, J., & Ghalsasi, A. (2011). Cloud computing—The business perspective. Decision Support Systems, 51(1), 176–189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2010.12.006

[5] Wamba, S. F., Gunasekaran, A., Akter, S., Ren, S. J., Dubey, R., & Childe, S. J. (2017). Big data analytics and firm performance: Effects of dynamic capabilities. Journal of Business Research, 70, 356–365. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.08.022

[6] Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of Management, 17(1), 99–120. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108

[7] Armbrust, M., Fox, A., Griffith, R., Joseph, A. D., Katz, R., Konwinski, A., … Zaharia, M. (2010). A view of cloud computing. Communications of the ACM, 53(4), 50–58. https://doi.org/10.1145/1721654.1721672

[8] Ngai, E. W. T., Hu, Y., Wong, Y. H., Chen, Y., & Sun, X. (2011). The application of data mining techniques in financial fraud detection: A classification framework and an academic review of literature. Decision Support Systems, 50(3), 559–569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2010.07.007

[9] Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. (2013). Principles for effective risk data aggregation and risk reporting (BCBS 239). Bank for International Settlements.

[10] Weinhardt, C., Anandasivam, A., Blau, B., Borissov, N., Meinl, T., Michalk, W., & Stößer, J. (2009). Cloud computing—A classification, business models, and research directions. Business & Information Systems Engineering, 1(5), 391–399. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-009-0068-2

[11] FinOps Foundation. (2021). State of FinOps report 2021. FinOps Foundation.

[12] European Banking Authority. (2019). Guidelines on outsourcing arrangements (EBA/GL/2019/02). European Banking Authority.

[13] Davenport, T. H., & Patil, D. J. (2012). Data scientist: The sexiest job of the 21st century. Harvard Business Review, 90(10), 70–76.